



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/661,950	09/14/2000	Arto Leppisaari	442-009779-US(PAR)	9662
7590		06/02/2006	EXAMINER	
Perman & Green		MEW, KEVIN D		
425 Post Road		ART UNIT		
Fairfield, CT 06430-6232		PAPER NUMBER		

2616

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/661,950	LEPPISAARI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Kevin Mew	2616	

**– The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address –**  
**Period for Reply**

**A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.**

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 February 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)             | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

*Detailed Action*

*Response to Amendment*

1. Applicant's Arguments/Remarks filed on 2/22/2006 regarding claims 1-18 have been fully considered. Claims 1-18 are currently pending.

*Claim Objections*

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In line 11, claim 1, there are multiple blank spaces between the word "pattern" and the word "indicating." Extra blank spaces should be deleted so that only one blank space is left in between the two words mentioned.

Appropriate correction is required.

*Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. **Claims 1, 4-6, 8, 13** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang (USP 6,532,225) in view of Bahl (USP 7,009,994).

Regarding claims 1, 5, 6, Chang discloses a Medium Access Control layer in GPRS (packet switched data transmission system and packet transfer mode, see lines 21-22, 55, col. 3), which comprises the process of gaining access to a traffic channel (allocating a radio

Art Unit: 2616

**resource**, see lines 30-32, col. 4) for real-time applications (**for a packet-switched implementation of a realtime service to a terminal for communication**, see lines 21-22, col. 3) between a mobile unit (**terminals; a mobile terminal of a cellular network**) and a base station (**a network**), said access to traffic channel is initiated by sending a "Fast Packet Channel Request" message (**said first message is a packet channel request of the GPRS system; and specific information indicating that a radio resource is requested**, see lines 30-32, col. 4) from a mobile unit to a base station (**a first message is sent from the terminal to the network**, see lines 30-32, col. 4). Chang further discloses that this message comprises a message format that includes a Walsh code to identify which mobile unit is making the access request for a voice call (**the first message comprising a specific bit pattern indicating a radio resource is requested for the realtime service**, see lines 1-25, col. 6). In particular, when a mobile unit starts a new data call (**realtime service**), this message is sent over the Fast Packet Data Access Channel (F-PDACH) from a mobile unit to the BSS. After receiving the packet channel request (**said first message is received in the network**, see line 40, col. 4), the base station assigns Temporary Flow Identifiers (TFIs) (note that TFI distinguishes packets destined for different mobile units, see lines 67, col. 3, and lines 1-2, col. 4 and lines 40-42, col. 4), Packet Data Traffic Channels (PDTCHs) (**radio resource of a type, which is designed for the packet switched implementation of the realtime service**) and Uplink State Flags (USFs) to the mobile unit (**terminal**) before any data transfer can commence (**the network allocates to the terminal the requested radio resource of a type, which is designed for the packet switched implementation of the realtime service**, see lines 40-42, col. 4).

Chang does not explicitly show sending a first message to the network from the terminal in the form of a request to allocate the radio resource for the realtime service.

However, Bahl discloses a GSM network (col. 6, lines 34-37) in which a request is made from one of the multiple communication sources to establish a new realtime service of voice, data, or video transmission connection over a communication channel, and upon receiving such a request, resources of the communication channel are allocated to the first one of the multiple communication sources (col. 15, lines 37-57 and col. 16, lines 1-15).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the radio resource allocation of Chang with the teaching of Bahl in making a request to allocate radio resources for a realtime voice, data and video service such that the wireless communication network of Chang will comprise sending a first message to the network from the terminal in the form of a request to allocate the radio resource for the realtime service.

The motivation to do so is to provide an improved channel access protocol for establishing a full service network that provides comprehensive support for integrated transport of voice, video and data communications.

Regarding claim 4, Chang discloses that the "Packet Channel Request" message sent from the mobile unit to the base station indicates the access type (**the network identifies the message as a radio resource request**, see lines 30-37, col. 4). Although Chang does not specifically disclose the message format, it is inherent that the message comprises a bit pattern in a packetized system such as GPRS.

Regarding claim 8, Chang discloses that the Base Station Subsystem (**the network**) sends a "Packet Uplink Assignment" message (**sends packet uplink assignment**) message to the mobile units (**terminal**) once the TFIs, PDTCHs, and USFs are assigned (see lines 43-45, col. 4).

Regarding claim 13, Chang discloses the real time interactivity for packet switched sessions includes such services as real-time audio/video (**realtime service as transmission of speech and transmission of a video image**, see lines 65-67, col. 1, and line 3, col. 2).

4. **Claim 2** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang in view of Bahl, and in further view of Spartz et al. (US Patent 5,878,036).

Chang discloses the radio resource allocation is achieved by establishing a open-ended Temporary Block Flow (TBF) between a mobile unit and the Base Station Subsystem (**establishing an open ended TBF connection between the terminal and the network**, see lines 59-60, col. 3 and lines 6-9, col. 4). Chang also discloses all the aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection of claim 1 above, except fails to explicitly show an unacknowledged mode of said TBF connection. However, Spartz discloses a GSM communications network (see Figure 1) in which the acknowledge message for channel request response from the BTS to subscriber unit is optional. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify radio link control mechanism of Chang such that there would be no acknowledgement message responding back to the packet channel request made by the mobile unit such as the unacknowledgement option

Art Unit: 2616

taught by Spartz. Having provided a BTS that does not acknowledge the packet channel request sent from the mobile unit to the network would modify the radio link control mechanism and the BTS of Chang. The motivation to do so is to avoid the extra processing within the network when transmitting acknowledgement signal to the mobile unit because the call initiation setup time by the mobile unit would then be reduced.

5. **Claims 3, 9-12, 14, 17** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang in view of Bahl, and in further view of Widegren et al. (US Patent 6,374,112).

Regarding claim 3, Chang discloses all the aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection of claim 1 above, except fails to explicitly show a third message is sent from the mobile unit to the base station when allocating a radio resource for a non real-time service. However, Widegren discloses a multimedia call setup, comprising service nodes for analyzing the types of parameters involved for the call including data type such as real time versus non real-time (**non real-time service**, see lines 54-64, col. 11). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the radio resource allocation of Chang such that the packet channel request message would be analyzed to indicate that the request is made for non real-time service such as the data type analysis taught by Widegren. Having provided a data type parameter field in the packet channel request message and a processing means for determining non real-time service request would modify the radio resource allocation of Chang. The motivation to do so is to provide a mechanism for requesting and distinguishing a non real-time service from a real-time service in a packet switched system

because non real-time service such as Internet data would also be required in a packet switched system.

Regarding claims 9 & 10, Chang discloses all the aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection of claim 1 above, except fails to explicitly show a second message (packet channel request message) would be transmitted from the mobile unit to the base station prior to sending the first message (packet resource request message). However, Widegren discloses in a GPRS network (see element 20, Figure 1) where a mobile station requests a radio bearer service (**packet channel request message**) to establish a connection with a core network via the radio access bearer establishment routine (see lines 5-10 and block 81, Figure 4). Widegren further discloses a radio resource allocation routine for flexible mapping of bearer services onto different channel types after determining the quality of service parameters associated with the radio access bearer service request (**packet resource request message**, see lines 33-45, col. 12). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the radio resource allocation procedure of Chang such that the packet channel request message is sent prior to sending the packet resource request message such as the radio resource allocation procedures taught by Widegren. Having provided a radio resource allocation routine to handle the packet resource request would modify the radio resource allocation method of Chang. The motivation to do so is to assign different channel types based on different quality of service parameters associated with a radio bearer services request because various quality of services such as diversity handover and fast power control would be required in some circumstances.



Regarding claims 11 & 12, Chang discloses all the aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection of claim 10 above, except fails to explicitly show a the packet resource request message comprises a specific one bit long bit field. However, Widegren discloses a multimedia call setup in a GPRS network, comprises service nodes for analyzing the types of parameters involved for the call including data type such as real time versus non real-time (**non real-time service**, see lines 54-64, col. 11). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the radio resource allocation method of Chang such that the packet channel request message would include a one bit value to indicate whether a service is real-time or non real-time. Having provided a one-bit data type field in the packet channel request message and a processing means for analyzing the type of service requested would modify the radio resource allocation of Chang. The motivation to do so is to provide a mechanism for requesting and distinguishing a non real-time service from a real-time service in a packet switched system because both real-time service such as audio and video and non real-time service such as Internet data would need to be supported in a packet switched system.

Regarding claim 14, Chang discloses all the aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection of claim 1 above, except fails to explicitly show the radio resource allocation is implemented on the RLC/MAC layer of GPRS system. However, Widegren discloses in a GPRS network (see Figure 1) that a connection (**radio resource allocation**) between a service node and a mobile station is handled at a radio link layer, comprising of a RLC/MAC layer (**radio**

**resource allocation is implemented on the RLC/MAC layer, see lines 32-35, col. 13).**

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the radio resource allocation method of Chang with that of Widegren such that the radio resource allocation method of Chang is implemented on the RLC/MAC layer such as RLC/MAC layer taught by Widegren. The motivation to do so is to utilize the available bandwidth efficiently because real-time service demands more bandwidth and a wider variety of Quality of Service requirements.

Regarding claim 17, Chang discloses all the aspects of the claimed invention set forth in the rejection of claim 1 above, except fails to explicitly show the network element comprises a means for receiving a message arriving from the mobile unit, and a means for allocating radio resource to the mobile unit. However, Widegren discloses a GPRS network comprising a RNC (BSC), a Base Station (BTS), a radio resource allocation, a connection to a Transceiver (ANT), and a routine for flexible mapping of bearer services onto different channel types (PCU, see Figure 1 and lines 33-35, Figure 6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the network element of Chang with the aforementioned network components of Widegren such that radio resource allocation mechanism of Chang is shown using the network components taught by Widegren. The motivation to do so is to show the required components for detecting radio access bearer service request and for selecting the type of channel to carry information associated with the radio access bearer service request.

6. **Claims 15-16, 18** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang in view of Bahl, and in further view of Sibecas et al. (US Patent 5,940,756).

Regarding claim 15, Chang discloses all the aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection of claim 1 above, except fails to explicitly show the internal components of the mobile unit for generating and transmitting said first message. However, Sibecas discloses a GSM system in which a mobile station comprises a Central Processing Unit (**CPU**, see element 522, Fig. 5), a Memory (**MEMORY IC**, see element 530, Fig. 5), a Radio Frequency block (**RF**) (RF Switch, see element 554, Fig. 5) and an antenna (see element 502, Fig. 5) and a base station that determines if the message acquired is a real-time or nonreal time message (see col. 11, lines 6-35). It is also well known in the art that a mobile unit would comprise a memory, a CPU, a RF block and an antenna in order to transmit messages to and receive messages from the base station. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the mobile station of Sibecas with that of Chang such that the mobile unit of Chang comprises the aforementioned internal components such as the mobile station taught by Sibecas. Having provided a RF block, a memory coupled to the CPU and an antenna connected to the RF block in the mobile station would modify the mobile station of Chang. The motivation to do so is to provide the components necessary in the mobile unit for generating and transmitting packet channel request message in a packet switched system.

Regarding claim 16, Chang discloses a terminal according to claim 15, wherein said terminal is one of the following: a mobile terminal of a cellular network or a computer terminal

Art Unit: 2616

that communicates through a mobile terminal of a cellular network (**a mobile terminal of a cellular network**, see col. 6, lines 15-24, and col. 8, lines 53-60).

Regarding claim 18, Chang discloses the terminal of claim 15 wherein the information comprises a bit pattern (**the access request message sent over the F-PDACH comprises a Wlsh code**, see col. 6, lines 1-24).

***Response to Arguments***

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Mew whose telephone number is 571-272-3141. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on 571-272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

*Seema S. Rao*  
SEEMA S. RAO 5/29/06  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Kevin Mew *Km*  
Work Group 2616